

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,230	03/12/2004	Kazuhito Matsuda	TOW-067	8565
959 LAHIVE & CO	7590 02/07/2007 DCKFIELD, LLP		EXAM	INER
ONE POST OF	FFICE SQUARE	•	LAIOS, MARIA J	MARIA J
BOSTON, MA	. 02109-2127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
-				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	PHTM	02/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/800,230	MATSUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maria J. Laios	1709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• •		Δ.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received. ,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03122004</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 12 of the specification, line 12 the word efficiently should not be hyphenated.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickman et al (US 20030008186).

As to claim 1, Dickman discloses a fuel gas production apparatus for reforming a hydrogen-containing fuel to produce a hydrogen-rich fuel gas, comprising a reforming mechanism for reforming the hydrogen-containing fuel to obtain a reformed gas (230 and paragraph 94); a PSA mechanism (38) directly connected to said reforming mechanism (230) for removing impurities from said reformed gas to refine said reformed gas into said fuel gas, (paragraph 97); wherein said reforming mechanism (230) uses said hydrogen containing fuel, steam and oxygen to induce oxidation reaction and reforming

reaction simultaneously. While Dickman discloses wherein the reforming mechanism uses hydrogen containing fuel (16, paragraph 38), steam (paragraph 66) and oxygen (58), the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

As to claim 3, while Dickman discloses said hydrogen-containing fuel is methane (Paragraph 38) the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

As to claim 4, Dickman discloses a fuel cell system comprising (Figure 1, 10): a fuel gas production apparatus (Figure 1, 12 fuel processor) for reforming a hydrogen-containing fuel to produce a hydrogen rich fuel gas; and a fuel cell (Figure 1, 22 fuel stack) using said fuel gas (Figure 1, 14) supplied from said fuel gas production apparatus, wherein said fuel gas production apparatus comprises: a reforming mechanism (Figure 16, 230 and paragraph 94) for reforming the hydrogen—containing fuel to obtain a reformed gas; and a PSA mechanism (Figure 16, 38 and paragraph 97) directly connected to said reforming mechanism for removing impurities from said reformed gas to refine said reformed gas into said fuel gas, wherein said reforming mechanism uses said hydrogen containing fuel, steam and oxygen (Paragraph 39) to induce oxidation reaction and reforming reaction simultaneously. While Dickman discloses wherein the reforming mechanism uses hydrogen containing fuel (16, paragraph 38), steam (paragraph 66) and oxygen (58), the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

As to claim 6, while Dickman discloses a fuel cell system according to claim 4, wherein said hydrogen-containing gas is methane (Paragraph 38) the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dickman (US 20030008186).

While Dickman discloses an air delivery assembly (paragraph 33), Dickman fail to explicitly state that an air blower is used. It is either inherent or an obvious variant for an air delivery assembly to include a blower so that the air can in fact be delivered as required.

5. Claims 1 - 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burch (US 20040101750).

As to claim 1, Burch discloses a fuel gas production apparatus for reforming a hydrogen-containing fuel to produce a hydrogen-rich fuel gas, comprising a reforming mechanism (32) for reforming the hydrogen-containing fuel to obtain a reformed gas; a PSA mechanism (52) directly connected (via 34, 37, 40) to said reforming mechanism (32) for removing impurities from said reformed gas to refine said reformed gas into said fuel gas; wherein said reforming mechanism (32) uses said hydrogen containing fuel, steam and oxygen to induce oxidation reaction and reforming reaction simultaneously (30, 51). While Burch discloses wherein the reforming mechanism uses hydrogen containing fuel,

steam and oxygen to induce oxidation and reforming reaction simultaneously the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

As to claim 2, Burch discloses a fuel gas production apparatus further comprising a cooling mechanism (34) provided between the reforming mechanism (32) and the PSA (52).

As to claim 3, while Burch discloses the hydrogen-containing fuel is methane (Paragraph 57, last sentence) the material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

As to claim 4, Burch discloses the a fuel cell system (28) comprising: a fuel gas production apparatus for reforming a hydrogen-containing fuel (30) to produce a hydrogen rich fuel gas (53); and a fuel cell (44) using said fuel gas supplied from said fuel gas production apparatus, wherein said fuel gas production apparatus comprises: a reforming mechanism (32) for reforming the hydrogen-containing fuel to obtain a reformed gas; and a PSA (52) mechanism directly connected (via 34, 37, 40) to said reforming mechanism (32) for removing impurities from said reformed gas to refine said reformed gas into said fuel gas, wherein said reforming mechanism (32) uses said hydrogen containing fuel (30), steam and oxygen (51) to induce oxidation reaction and reforming reaction simultaneously. The material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,230

Art Unit: 1709

As to claim 5, Burch discloses a fuel cell system further comprising a cooling mechanism (34) provided between said reforming mechanism (32) and said PSA (52) mechanism.

Page 6

As to claim 6, Burch discloses the hydrogen-containing fuel is methane (Paragraph 57, last sentence). The material worked upon does not limit an apparatus claim. MPEP 2115.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Burch (US 20040101750).

While Burch discloses an air inlet stream (Figure 3) to the fuel stack (44, Figure 3),

Burch fails to disclose the method used to transport air into the stack. It is either inherent
or an obvious variant for there to be a blower to supply air to the fuel cell stack (44).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/800,230 Page 7

Art Unit: 1709

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickman (US 20030008186), as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Burch (US 20040101750).

The reference Dickman discloses the possible additional use of heat exchangers (paragraph 22, last sentence) however he does not specifically disclose the location of the heat exchangers as being located between the reforming mechanism and the PSA unit.

Burch also discloses a fuel cell system, which includes an auto-thermal reformer (32), a heat exchanger (34, 40) and a PSA (52, Figure 3) unit and teaches that the heat produced by the system can be further utilized for a higher overall efficiency of the system (Paragraph 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the heat exchanger of Burch between the reformer and the PSA unit of Dickman in order to achieve thermal efficiency.

10. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickman (US 20030008186), as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Hirata (US 20020031458 A1).

With regard to claim 7, Dickman discloses an air steam entering the cathode region of the fuel cell stack (paragraph 32) but fails to disclose a blower. Hirata also discloses a fuel cell system with a fuel-processing unit and teaches the use of a compressor unit

(28)/blower in order to effectively supply air to the fuel cell (paragraph 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the compressor/blower of Hirata for the transportation of air to the fuel cell of Dickman in order to effectively supply the required air.

11. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burch (US 20040101750), as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Hirata (US 20020031458 A1). With regard to claim 7, Burch discloses an air stream (Figure 3) entering fuel cell stack (44) but fails to disclose the method to transport the air. Hirata also discloses a fuel cell system with a fuel-processing unit and teaches the use of a compressor unit (28)/blower in order to effectively supply air to the fuel cell (paragraph 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the air at the time of the invention to use the compressor/blower of Hirata for the transportation of air to the fuel cell of Burch in order to effectively supply the required air.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria J. Laios whose telephone number is 571-272-9808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-9827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,230

Art Unit: 1709

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJL of

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Supervisory Parkat Examiner

Page 9